PRESS CONFERENCE OF CITY OF CLEVELAND MAYOR FRANK G. JACKSON, HELD AT CITY OF CLEVELAND CITY HALL, 601 LAKESIDE AVENUE, CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114 ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2014. IN RE: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FINDINGS REGARDING CLEVELAND POLICE 

1 MAYOR JACKSON:

Well, first of

all, I want to thank you for coming and the reason I've asked for you to be here today, because when I'm out at events or I'm doing other press events, after I do an event, people want to talk to me about the DOJ and other things. And so what I say to people is that I won't do it then, but I will have a time when I can speak to everybody so everybody hears the same thing at the same time.

And it's usually in three areas -three areas that people will ask me about. One
is about the DOJ, its findings; the other one is
about -- is whether or not there is systemic
failure in the Division of Police; and the third
is about the demonstrations that have occurred
and -- and -- and their demands.

Now in the first one, in terms of DOJ, we -- I know I do and -- and we as a city really think this is a great opportunity. So we're not -- we're not at all adverse to the Department of Justice coming in and reviewing the Division of Police. As a matter of fact, I and -- and several other people have asked them to come in shortly after the East Cleveland and the

shooting.

And we have been working with them with our private consultant on the use of force even before that. So -- so it's not -- we actually welcome it. We think -- we think it's a good thing. And -- and at the end of that process, we will enter into a consent decree and we will -- as part of that consent decree, we will be under a monitor, which we're perfectly fine with.

The only concern I have, however, as you know, we -- part of going through the process of developing a final consent decree is -- is agreeing on what that will be. And -- and -- and we will do that. And again, it will be subject to a monitor.

The concern I have is I don't think it goes far enough to be honest with you. It's more -- to me there are problems in the Division of Police that we need to address, but there are also problems external to the Division of Police that really has a great impact on -- on how things happen internally.

And -- and I had asked before and -- and I continue to say that I just don't think

the Department of Justice in looking just at the Division of Police is -- is going far enough.

The second thing that, again, is about whether or not there is systemic failure in -- in the Division of Police. Those of you who have talked to me about that, I continue to say there is not systemic failure. There are problems with our system.

And that the DOJ, even though we don't agree on all the -- all of the findings or the supporting data or examples they use to get toward to those findings, it clearly points out that -- that we have some problems that we will agree to and we will agree to how to resolve those problems as we enter into this consent decree.

And the good thing about the monitor is the monitor will take away the whole thing about, "Well, I was here ten years ago and you didn't do it." Well, now we'll have a monitor to ensure that it is fully executed and implemented.

But -- but in terms of systemic failure, I maintain that there is no systemic failure. There is significant problems that we

have to address. And -- and in that concept of systemic failure, it -- it -- it implies a relieving of people of the responsibility to make decisions. And -- and I believe that individuals make decisions in the Division of Police and throughout the City and they should be held accountable for those decisions if, in fact, those decisions are not done according to training and process and procedures of General Police Order.

So do we have problems? Yes. Do we have systemic failure? No. Do we demand that people be held accountable? Yes. Individuals should be held accountable for what they do.

And then finally in terms of the demonstrators, I really want to commend the demonstrators. I've -- really, they've impressed me. They're young. They're young, but they've impressed me on the way in which they've conducted their demonstrations and the sincerity of their demonstrations. They -- as they say, they're young, so they see things in black and white and whereas, we in -- in -- in organizations, as you probably know, we -- sometimes we have a little cloud on -- on those

and it's not so black and white to us, because there's a -- there's process and procedures we have to go through.

Whereas, with the young demonstrators, they just see it very clearly that they -- as to how government should operate and -- and how it should operate, particularly when it comes to use of deadly force. And -- and I really commend them by staying focused on that.

Now one of the things that they talked about and they demonstrated and they commented on is the resignation of the Director McGrath and -- and Special Assistant Flask and -- and I -- I fully appreciate their demonstrating on that, even though we don't agree, but at the end of the day, they had not lost sight of the substance and the sincerity of their demonstration.

And that is that they have a concern about the use of force, particularly deadly force, and -- and whether or not there's accountability in that and -- and I -- and I respect that and I respect their sincerity in that. And also, the way in which they've conducted themselves in -- in doing the

1	demonstration.
2	Other than that, that's pretty much
3	I think I've addressed all of what people have
4	talked to me about, but I know there are other
5	things that you may want to talk to me about, so
6	I'll gladly now, I'm I'm going I'm
7	going I'm going to do it like I do community
8	meetings. You were first, so and then we'll
9	move around.
10	REPORTER 1: Mayor, the report
11	spent a lot of time discussing accountability
12	MAYOR JACKSON: Yes.
13	REPORTER 1: and discussing
14	discipline. And the report expressed also what
15	seemed like astonishment at the very few folks
16	the officer who had been disciplined
17	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
18	REPORTER 1: for using
19	force, which he got really none, and in the
20	disciplinary process, it's either going to be
21	heard by the Chief or it's going to be heard by
22	the Safety Director depending on the severity
23	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
24	REPORTER 1: of the
25	infraction.

1 MAYOR JACKSON: That's correct. All right. REPORTER 1: 3 when we're talking about accountability, don't you hold the Chief, whoever that is, and the 4 5 Safety Director accountable for these problems that the DOJ is going to ask? 6 7 MAYOR JACKSON: The quick answer 8 to that is yes, but I also want to re-emphasize 9 to you is that their findings in the data and 10 the conclusions they reached with the examples 11 that they used, we don't necessarily agree with 12 all of that. 13 So we will be delving into -- we're 14 already delving into that and we'll be reviewing 15 that to see what in there is accurate, which is 16 not accurate, which is a misstatement, which is 17 -- are examples that may be factual, may not be 18 factual; but your point about yes, the Chief is the Chief, the director is the director, in --19 20 in regards to that. 21 Now but it implies from the report and 22 from your question as if there was nothing done and now -- and that is where we have a -- a 23 24 disagreement. As a matter of fact, when you

look at the use of force over a period of time,

you will see that the use of force has decreased in -- in those various areas where there is deadly or less deadly -- less lethal force.

And you will see that there's been accountability in regards to that, but there have also been -- and that's why I think the Department of Justice's findings don't go far enough in terms of looking at those entities external to the Division of Police.

So that when we do discipline people and when we do attempt to hold people accountable, that they go to an arbitrator. The arbitrator overturns it. We send stuff to -- to be -- have criminal prosecution. That doesn't necessarily happen. And so we -- we just believe that if we're going to really look at the systemic part of this, that it really does need to be correct. Then that -- that it should include not just the Division of Police, but more than the Division of Police.

So the -- again, I recognize what they have said and you're right, they were kind of aggressive on that. We are looking into that, so that we can provide you and others with what we believe is a more accurate data and if, in

fact, that is so, then you will find that action 1 will be taken in that regard. 3 REPORTER 1: Allow me one 4 follow-up, sir. MAYOR JACKSON: 5 Yes. So are you saying 6 REPORTER 1: 7 that you have full and complete confidence in the Chief and the Safety Director and the job 8 9 that they have done? You see no problems in what your chiefs and your safety directors have 10 11 done over the last three to four years? 12 MAYOR JACKSON: No, I -- I didn't 13 say that. I didn't say that. What I said is 14 that it would imply is that your question and 15 report implies that they did nothing and that's 16 inaccurate. And -- and so as we -- and there's 17 always a conversation. I meet with the Chief of 18 police and the Safety Director every week. And we go over what's happening out in 19 20 the neighborhoods, what's happening in the 21 districts, what are the relevant issues that are 22 facing them? We talk about the issue of -- of accountability. We talked about the whole issue 23 24 of discipline and -- and -- and how we should be 25 doing those kind of things to process and we --

we talked about that. 1 But -- but so I have confidence in them 3 in terms of their attempt to do what needed to be done to correct behavior that was incorrect. 4 5 The report and your question implies is that 6 they did nothing and they just accepted anything 7 that was done wrong and that's not accurate. 8 Yes? 9 REPORTER 2: Yes, Mayor. This 10 talks about investigators sort of being 11 interviewed. 12 MAYOR JACKSON: That is correct. REPORTER 2: 13 And some 14 investigators actually admitted that the goal 15 was to clear the behavior of the officers; does 16 that trouble you? 17 Well, it troubles MAYOR JACKSON: 18 me if that's accurate. One of the things that 19 we're doing is we reviewed the report and we're 20 delving into it is actually having conversations 21 with those officers who would have made statements like that. That is completely 22 unacceptable, particularly if your duty and 23 24 responsibility as -- as -- as the investigator of -- of incidents is to ferret out the truth. 2.5

1 It is not to move towards a cover-up or move towards creating a set of facts or 3 conditions that would relieve the responsibility or accountability. That's not what they're 4 5 supposed to do. So yes, we would have trouble 6 with that, but so what we're looking into it and 7 -- and -- and once we look into it, if, in fact, that pans out to be true, then -- then we will 8 9 do whatever is appropriate. 10 But we're just not accepting it, 11 because the DOJ said it. We -- wehave to 12 actually look into this and -- and -- and review 13 it for ourselves. Tom? 14 REPORTER 3: A large part of 15 the report says that the confidence and trust 16 between the community and the police department 17 are essential to resolve these problems. 18 MAYOR JACKSON: That's right. REPORTER 3: 19 A large part of 20 the community expresses a lack of confidence in -- in -- in two of the voices that you've 21 22 listened to and have had that oversight to the department when these problems were identified. 23 24 MAYOR JACKSON: Right. 25 REPORTER 3: If the community

does not have confidence in them, doesn't that 1 make that an impediment to getting change made? Can old leaders direct new change? 3 MAYOR JACKSON: 4 You know, the 5 first part of your question, I hope so, because 6 I'm getting old. But that being said, it 7 implies that there's no one who has confidence in the Chief or the former Chief. 8 9 REPORTER 3: No, I didn't say 10 no one, but a segment of the community. 11 MAYOR JACKSON: Well, a segment. 12 Well, a segment of the community doesn't have 13 confidence in your reporting. Does that mean 14 that, you know, you change your leadership or 15 that -- or that you terminate your reporters 16 that they don't have confidence in? You know, 17 the point is that's a segment and -- and -- and 18 when we looked at those people who have communicated with us, e-mails and otherwise, 19 20 there's also a segment that has complete 21 confidence and complete trust. 22 And -- and they've demonstrated that as early as this morning with -- with phone calls 23 24 and things. So this is an ongoing process. 25 I will say to you that at the end of this my

goal and my -- my whole purpose is to have a better police division. That is why I welcome this so much. Believe me. I do not have a problem with the DOJ. I just want to make sure that what they're talking about is accurate.

And -- and -- and so at the end of this, we will have an opportunity to really have a motto as to how you do policing and have the accountability and -- and the community kind of policing that you need in order to have that trust and -- and all of that stuff, at the end of it. That is why I'm welcoming and agreed in the letter of principle that we should have a monitor.

We should not be a -- a -- the Division of Police or the Mayor of the City or the Cleveland City Council or anyone like that who should determine whether or not we should be successful. The consent decree will be very specific, once we agree on what it is, because we're -- we're not agreeing on everything. We agree on the basic principle that there's a need for change. That there are patterns and practices that need to be changed in the Division of Police.

And once we agree on the specifics of 1 that, which we will include in the consent 3 decree, we welcome the monitor to ensure the 4 implementation of that, but that's -- that's a 5 process. That's a process and we'll get to that process and we'll get to that end. 6 7 And I believe it will be a healthy And some of the discussion around the 8 process. 9 issues that have been raised either directly to the Department of Justice report or -- or 10 11 collateral to it are a part of that discussion. 12 The demonstrations are a part of that 13 discussion. The community input is a part of 14 that discussion. 15 And so we -- I don't have a problem 16 with any of that, none whatsoever. She was 17 next. 18 REPORTER 4: Two -- two parts 19 here. 20 MAYOR JACKSON: Go ahead. 21 REPORTER 4: First, what 22 specifically do you disagree with and secondly, the DOJ has said that -- said that their 23 24 police's record keeping is invisible. 2.5 MAYOR JACKSON: Right.

REPORTER 4: How will the City 1 go about checking out all of the DOJ's conclusions if the records are -- are just not 3 there? 4 MAYOR JACKSON: 5 Well, we have a couple things. I'm not going to tell you what 6 7 we disagree with, because that becomes part of our process as we look into what they have said 8 9 and what they recommend. And it also becomes 10 part of our process as we work together and 11 negotiate on what the consent decree is and I 12 don't want to do that in a public kind of way. 13 That does not mean that at some time I 14 will not say to you and whoever else wants to 15 listen the findings that we had based on some of 16 the things that they said. You know so that in 17 that, you can interpret what we agree and 18 disagree on, but the second part of your 19 question was? 20 REPORTER 4: About 21 record keeping. 22 MAYOR JACKSON: Oh, the record keeping, now that -- I believe that to be 23 24 accurate in terms of if it is, then that is a 25 problem in terms of pulling it, because one of

1	the things about poor record keeping is that it
2	it does let me back up a little bit. I
3	view the DOJ's procedure here as an audit and
4	and what the audit does, it looks at your not
5	only are you having a pattern and practice of a
6	constitutional violation, it looks at your is
7	auditing your your your systems internally
8	to see if, in fact, there needs to be some
9	improvement to get to your point of of being
10	able to identify accurately information that you
11	need.
12	And so if, in fact, that is the case
13	and and I think it's an accurate assumption,
14	that we need to correct that.
15	REPORTER 4: How though with
16	with poor if it is if the
17	record keeping is
18	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
19	REPORTER 4: lacking
20	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
21	REPORTER 4: how will the
22	City investigate each of the points the DOJ is
23	making to determine which side
24	MAYOR JACKSON: Well, because
25	there are points that need data and then there

are points that are not necessarily data-driven. 1 And -- and so we will have to ferret all that 3 out. And then we also will say, and I -- I can say that we have provided data that was not 4 reflected in their conclusion. 5 And -- and so in their conclusion, they 6 7 referenced the inability to get sufficient or accurate information and -- and I would suspect 8 9 that that may have been the case in some cases, but not in all cases. Let me go here and then 10 11 I'll come back. Yes, sir? 12 REPORTER 5: Now Mr. Mayor, 13 you -- you and other mayors around the country and even the president have faced some difficult 14 15 decisions recently --16 MAYOR JACKSON: Yes. 17 REPORTER 5: -- in how to 18 manage these situations that potentially expose 19 the community for a number of different reasons. 20 Talk to us a little bit about what you 21 weighed and how you balanced the competing 22 interest of supporting your police while also being responsive to the community and their 23 24 credibility in identifying if there's really a 2.5 problem.

1 MAYOR JACKSON:

Well, Tom, I just

try to do the right thing. And wherever that leaves me, that's where I go. And -- and -- and in doing the right thing, because I don't think I'm right. I want to be right. If I thought I was right, then there's nothing you can tell me, but if I want to be right, then I will take some suggestions. I will listen, but what I will not listen to are those with agendas or those -- or who views this tragedy or other tragedies to promote agendas that are not associated with the purity that I think the demonstrators have in this regard.

So I just try to do the right thing.

So sometimes that puts me at odds with the policemen, sometimes it puts me at odds with the community, sometimes it puts me at odds with City Council, sometimes it puts me at odds internally within my -- my own directors and -- and -- and commissioners. It -- it just puts me at odds.

But I really do try to stay focused on

-- on what the truth of the matter is and doing

the right thing. That's why I'm talking -
having this process today, because, you know, a

lot of people want to say what the mayor said or

-- or imply what the mayor meant by that and I

-- I don't think I'm that difficult to

understand. So -- and so -- and so -- and I

always tell people if you didn't hear it come

out of my mouth, I didn't say it.

And so that's why I'm trying to be clear with people today that we believe we have a problem within the Division of Police. We don't think it's a systemic failure, but we do believe we have a problem. We will ferret out from the DOJ's report in our internal review of their report as to what we believe are legitimate concerns.

And then we will reach a consent decree with the Department of Justice and are perfectly willing to have a monitor to ensure implementation of that, because I want to see the change. I want to see the reform. I do not want children to die at the hand of police officers. I do not want adults to die at the hand of police officers.

And -- and -- and if we need to correct behavior or correct policy or correct whatever or do things in addition to what we're doing to better ensure that, then I -- then that's what we'll do, but at the same time, I don't want a policeman not to be able to go home, because he was killed on the street, because he didn't -- he didn't do something that he should have done, whether it's a tactical thing or he did -- didn't do something or -- or -- or was hesitating, because he didn't know if he was going to be sued or -- or fired because -- so he hesitates.

So I don't want that either. You know, police officers are -- are -- are here and that's who we call. And the only thing we ask them when they come out is to be professional in what they do, to abide by -- and have the sensitivity of the situation that they're dealing with at that moment and to handle it in -- in a professional way.

So I -- so those are the competing interests. So I just try to do the right thing in regards to it and just let it fall where it may.

REPORTER 5: But in your reference to you're going to take some additional action as you negotiate --

1	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
2	REPORTER 5: with the
3	Justice Department. Sir, that's a process
4	that's going to take a while now.
5	MAYOR JACKSON: That's correct.
6	REPORTER 5: Do you expect
7	do you anticipate changing anything about use of
8	force tactics for this?
9	MAYOR JACKSON: Yes, yes.
10	We we we are already we have done some
11	things leading up to the Department of Justice
12	finding and we intend to continue to do things
13	and as we talk to the Department of Justice, as
14	they then are focused in telling us things and
15	we see through our own internal kind of a look
16	at this that that is right and we intend to make
17	those changes before we reached reached a
18	final consent decree. We we intend to do
19	that, yes. Yes, sir?
20	REPORTER 6: Thank you, Mayor.
21	You and the Chief have repeatedly said last week
22	during the press conference and you just said it
23	again that there were things in the report that
24	you accept or agree that there's a problem.
25	MAYOR JACKSON: We agree as a

general principle that we have a problem.

So there are --

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

REPORTER 6: so specifically what is a couple examples of things that you see as a problem? Because truly, in a report that large, there are things on face value that should be accepted or rejected and some of the things, you know, reiterate issues that have been raised years earlier; specifically about paperwork, statements of officers and excessive force that are not -- the complaints and the statements -questions have been raised about their accuracy. So what in that report is -- that you accept right now is a problem?

MAYOR JACKSON: And what I'm saying to you rather than just be helter-skelter about this, we're going to do a complete review and when we complete that review, you will be -you will be privy to it just like the rest of the world, but I'm not going to sit here now and just pick out one thing and say is wrong and one thing and say it's right or one -- or draw a conclusion or -- or say that that conclusion is wrong or draw another conclusion and say it's right.

I'm not going to do that, but I -- but my commitment to you and to everyone else and to the community, in particular, you just happen to be the vehicle to the community, is that we looking at that report. We are dealing with that report in very specific ways about specific things and those things that have been demonstrated once we complete that, that we need to correct, we will be proceeding in that immediately.

There may be some things in there that

There may be some things in there that we want to correct that maybe we may be hindered by a -- a collective bargaining, we may be hindered by -- by other external rules. We don't know. Then there are things that are in that report that really falls back on us that are -- that we then have to look at some external changing of things too in order to make what we do more effective, but yes, I will -- we will do that, but I -- I just can't answer the specifics right now.

REPORTER 6: One related

follow-up?

MAYOR JACKSON: Right.

REPORTER 6: Yes, can you shed

1	any light on the fact that obviously you guys
2	talked to the Department of Justice about that
3	release, and I don't know whether they gave you
4	a hard copy or not, if you got briefed on
5	MAYOR JACKSON: A day before.
6	REPORTER 6: So you never had
7	any insight at all.
8	MAYOR JACKSON: Oh, yeah, yeah.
9	We had we had
10	REPORTER 6: Which I'm
11	getting at is: Did you challenge any of their
12	initial findings that were verbally given to you
13	guys months ago?
14	MAYOR JACKSON: Oh, yes, yes. We
15	we we pointed out that we would have
16	disagreements, yes. And that's why even with
17	Mr. Dettelbach, he said that he knew we were not
18	going to agree on everything. Some of the
19	specifics may be off in our you know, in our
20	opinion, some of the findings may not be as
21	as as they wrote it in their findings.
22	So yeah, we we we said to them,
23	that, you know, "These things that you're
24	mentioning here, these examples may not be
25	accurate or correct, but as we go on and you

release your findings, we will work with you and we will -- we will then communicate to you what our side of this is. If -- you know, this -- regardless of what people may think, this is -- this is a -- this is a legal court kind of proceeding going on here. And so you have different sides to this.

REPORTER 6: But if you -- if you resist, you could go to court and then that testimony would be there where you guys could fight it out in court.

MAYOR JACKSON: Mark, the truth is the truth. And -- and -- and if we can reach a consent decree before that, then there's no -- there's no necessity to go in court and we'll accept a monitor on that. If, in fact, we can't, then -- then -- then it will be out to everybody to make a decision as to which side is correct.

Ultimately, the Court will make that decision, but I just want everyone to understand that these are -- and I don't want to use the word "allegations," but these -- this is the position of the Department of Justice. They have served us, in a sense, with their findings

and now we are responding to that finding and -and as to what the process is when you go
through any kind of legal proceeding. And -and the goal is to get to both the Department of
Justice, now this is I do believe, that at the
end of the day the Department of Justice and the
City of Cleveland have the same purpose in mind.

That is to come up with a motto of how to do policing that ensures a constitutional right, but at the same time does not put police officers in jeopardy. And -- and to build that trust with the community. We have that. We just have a difference maybe on the road to that -- to that destination and that is the process that we're going to go through.

We are in contact with them, as you mentioned. We talked to them before the finding. We're in contact with them now. We'll be meeting next week with them, you know, our lawyers, their lawyers. So we're -- we're in the process and it's -- it's moving towards that conclusion. Yes, sir?

REPORTER 7: You had mentioned that one of your problems with the DOJ report is that it didn't take into account these external

factors. Talk about some of these external factors that you say are part of the complaint.

MAYOR JACKSON: Well, it's --

I've been very public, so it's not like I'm doing something new here. And I've been public about it even before the DOJ's report, so but I will recite some. There is -- there is probably a pattern of practice in our entire criminal justice system in the process of it.

You know, who gets arrested, who gets charged and charged with what? You know, once they are charged, who gets indicted and who doesn't get indicted? And then if you are indicted and you're convicted, who gets this amount of time and who gets that amount of time?

And then when you put a -- a layer of police on there, that disparity sometimes gets even greater, because if you're talking citizen to citizen, that's one thing. This citizen disparity in terms of what happened to this citizen and that citizen, that's one thing, but when you put the police in there, the police are treated differently in the criminal justice system.

And -- and -- and when you do that and

-- I'll give you a perfect example. We -- we've -- we've terminated police officers not just involving a -- a use of force or use of deadly force. We've suspended police officers without pay. We've done many kinds of disciplinary actions against police officers. And they invariably are taken to the -- to the arbitrator.

And invariably, the arbitrator will say, "Well, City, you were right, but maybe you were too harsh, so bring them back. Bring them back. If you demote them, give them their rank back and give them back pay. If you fire them, bring them back to work if you've given them too many days" in the arbitrator's mind.

So if you -- if you -- so then, that's an issue when it comes to how do you discipline employees and hold employees accountable when you're going to be measured as to whether or not you took appropriate action. Even if you do take appropriate action, that may be overturned. But let's say, for instance, on a use of force and you -- you sent it to -- and that's on the administrative side, but let's say it's a use of force and you send it to a prosecutor or to the

Grand Jury, there's not that many police 1 officers that get indicted. 3 And when they do get indicted, you'll find except for very egregious situations, that 4 5 a jury will tend to give them the benefit of the doubt. And this has even occurred when -- when 6 7 there is an indictment, someone goes to trial or if it is not a felony, it might be a 8 9 misdemeanor. So there are -- there are systemic 10 issues and patterns of practice within the 11 entire criminal justice system. 12 REPORTER 7: So sir, are you 13 saying if a jury's going to give a police 14 officer the benefit of the doubt, then that 15 means you should give them the benefit of the 16 doubt? 17 MAYOR JACKSON: No, I'm not 18 saying that. REPORTER 7: I'm not quite 19 20 sure I'm following you. 21 MAYOR JACKSON: No, I'm -- I'm 22 answering your question and if you -- if you remember your question, I'm following it. Your 23 24 question is for me to give you examples of what 25 I mean by external. And that's what I'm doing.

I'm giving you examples as to where there's disparity in the criminal justice system is not just associated with police.

Police sometimes become the easy one, because it's obvious. They're there. You know, and -- and so they become -- it's easy, but when you get beyond the internal discipline in an administrative way or -- or you get into the criminal justice system, there is disparity when it comes to how a police officer is treated and the average citizen and there is disparity in how an average citizen is treated compared to another average citizen.

It is just -- that is way the system is currently functioning. So for me in order for us to have a type of police force we are desiring to have and to have the level of accountability, then there needs to be some -- some correction external of things that will make it very clear that if you do certain things and you're held accountable in an administrative or in a -- or if we decide to charge somebody, that the external system will also follow suit in regards to that.

Not to say that -- that they must agree

with us, but to say that -- that there should not be a level of disparity in the way in which they approach justice or administrative action.

Let's go here and then I'll come back. Did you -- I'll get you.

REPORTER 8: Sir, there's some talk of improvements that have already been implemented and some that are already in the works. So what can you say specifically, you talked about the specific measures, to assure to the public that improvement is already underway?

MAYOR JACKSON: That would be a much better question for the Chief and the director to be honest with you, because they -- they're doing it on an everyday basis, but I can give you like the categories that we're looking at.

One of the things that Layla mentioned was our record keeping. So we're actually looking into record keeping and -- and to see before -- to see if, in fact, there's some issues around record keeping. So we're -- we have haven't concluded that, but if we find that there is something around record keeping that is inappropriate or not adequate, we will make

those changes.

We have over some time, there's an issue around training, use of improper tactics, those kind of things and -- and we have issued General Police Orders, not we, but the division of -- issued General Police Orders around how to use proper tactics letting people know that even if you're justified in using this force, whether lethal or not, but you use inappropriate tactics and you put yourself in a situation where you were forced to use force where you would not have if you -- if you had to use proper tactics, that they will be disciplined on -- on administrative leave on that kind of stuff.

So it's those kind of things that -that I believe that's one of the things that the
DOJ raised about proper tactics and -- and not
being -- and putting yourself in a position of
having to use force. If you had to use proper
tactics, you would not have had to do that.
Well, we agree with that and -- and we have made
changes in that regard.

We're also looking at and have made some changes saying that if you're on the scene of something and there's been a use of force and

you, as an officer or whether your supervisor or 1 not believed that that force was inappropriate, 3 you need to take actions to stop that. And if your failure to do what you believe is the right 4 5 thing and an appropriate thing, then you will be 6 subject to discipline just like the person who 7 actually did the -- the use of force. So it's those -- those kind of things. 8 9 So we're -- you know, the question becomes as was pointed out how do you get from a General 10 11 Police Order or a policy and you give that down 12 to the rank and file and that becomes -- that's the process that I think one of the things the 13 14 DOJ is talking about. 15 REPORTER 8: One thing I found interesting about Dettelbach's comments during 16 17 the press conference was that he said that 18 police are sometimes escalating situations, not 19 de-escalating them. Is there a discussion 20 about --21 MAYOR JACKSON: Yes. 22 REPORTER 8: -- training to address that? 23 24 MAYOR JACKSON: Well, a lot of 2.5 that has to do with sensitivity and -- and --

and how you are -- how are you able to recognize the situation in a way that you're sensitive to that situation? And -- and that is some people -- individuals are better at that than others. Some will need some -- just some training. Other people will need training, training, training, training in regards to that. So -- so yes, we're looking at that. A lot of it is around sensitivity.

The other side to that is it's difficult for me to judge in every case where that happens, because the police officer may be responding to an escalation of something by the person that they're confronting. And so the question then becomes whether or not it's -- it's appropriate for you to then escalate your response, because they have.

That goes to the whole training issue of particularly around people who -- who may have some mental illness and things and to recognize that and then how do we quickly engage either someone else who is better able and equipped to deal with that individual or how do we train our -- our officers in a way that they have a better appreciation as to how to behave

in that -- in that situation? 1 And we found when we do that, officers 3 are very receptive to that, because they want to -- they want to know how should they deal with 4 5 this, because they don't want to also get into 6 some physical confrontation. They would rather 7 talk their way out of it or engage their way out of it rather than having to use force. 8 So those 9 are kind of the things that we're looking at. Yes, sir. 10 11 REPORTER 9: Mayor, thanks. 12 You were talking a lot in this issue here of discussion about the officers on the streets. 13 14 MAYOR JACKSON: Right. 15 REPORTER 9: And you were 16 using some examples, but is this report in your 17 interpretation more about leadership or more 18 about the officers on the streets? And if it's about the leadership, where would you make 19 20 changes? 21 MAYOR JACKSON: Well, it's about 22 -- it's about three things. It's about I think they mention specifically about supervision and 23 -- and whether or not supervisors are taking 24 25 charge. They're talking about the individual

officer and whether or not they're behaving appropriately. And then there is this third part that I think is the most essential part in — in regards is how do you review that and how do you — how do you after — whatever happens happens, how do you then review that and — and have a level of accountability and things like that. Those, I think, are the three areas.

So yeah, the report was very clear that they have a concern about supervision, and very clear about they have a concern about officers' immediate reactions to things that may be inappropriate and I think they pointed out this third side too. And that is how do you -- how do you ensure that -- even if you do have good policies and good General Police Orders, how do you ensure that there's a level of accountability so people know that if you cross this line, then -- then you will be held accountable for it?

Again, that's where I go about why that is not just -- that -- that rests primarily with the Division of Police. Primarily, it rests with the Division of Police, but there's also that external factor that will validate that's

1	holding people accountable that is very
2	important, because if if people believe that,
3	"Okay. You did what you could do, but at the
4	end of the day, I'm not going to be held
5	accountable," they they tend not to be as on
6	their on their game or disciplined about it.
7	Let me get first you, sir.
8	REPORTER 10: All right.
9	Mayor, you grew up in such a neighborhood
10	MAYOR JACKSON: Yeah.
11	REPORTER 10: in the 1960's.
12	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
13	REPORTER 10: It's a pretty
14	volatile time.
15	MAYOR JACKSON: Volatile time all
16	the time. Volatile now sometime.
17	REPORTER 10: You have a
18	relationship growing up in it. You didn't grow
19	up in Pepper Pike. You can agree with that.
20	The relationship that the neighborhood had with
21	the Cleveland police officers then, do you think
22	it's any better especially now in the City that
23	is is more than 50 percent minority?
24	MAYOR JACKSON: I know this
25	sounds like I'm not answering, but I am. It's a

yes or no situation. I'll tell you why. It is yes, that it's better, because I've actually seen police officers diffuse things. I've seen them confront young people in a way where they maybe could have arrested them, but they did what they would do perhaps in a more affluent environment, affluent community where they'd actually take them home and they -- you know, and they'd talk to them. They engage with them, so I've seen that. I've seen that.

And I've seen more of that over the last, you know, ten years or so than -- than I've seen when I was a kid, but -- but so yes, I've seen them.

No, because I actually still see some that are just pretty -- pretty straightforward about how they approach communities in situations. It's either, "You do what I say or you're going to jail" and I think that -- that kind of brings a sense of -- of a -- of a person believing that they're not being respected. Then that begins to escalate. Okay. Now so I've seen both.

I will tell you that -- you know, as you say, I stayed in the Central area. I still

stay in the Central area, on 38th and Central and -- and I have an 18-year-old grandson that has been on his face on the ground and police over him, not that he did anything, not that he did anything, but it's just the area you're living in and things are going on and the police come and they try to control the situation. So he's face down in the -- on the ground in the mud while they check everything out, "It's okay. You can go."

I have a granddaughter that when she was -- and I know you probably remember, who was arrested by police for something that she should not have been arrested for and as a result of that, she went to trial and was found not guilty by a jury and -- and -- and -- and exonerated from it, but she spent a night in jail in which -- which she did not like then and as she remembers now.

But I also have a 12-year-old greatgrandson who was caught out after curfew and lectured by the police and brought home -- and so that I could chastise him. And -- and he didn't know who -- who he was when he stopped him, but he could have, you know, got real

1	aggressive with him, but he didn't do it, you
2	know.
3	So so my point is is is
4	there's a variety of experience. Now let let
5	me point out
6	REPORTER 10: What is I'm
7	sorry, Mayor. What do you believe is the
8	overall level of trust between the residents
9	that you swore an oath to to be their leader
10	and the officers who swore an oath to to
11	protect, do you think as you sit here today,
12	there's a good relationship between your
13	community and your police department?
14	MAYOR JACKSON: And and when
15	you say "my community," I'm assuming you mean an
16	African-American community.
17	REPORTER 10: No, I mean
18	MAYOR JACKSON: So you need to
19	distinguish please for me.
20	REPORTER 10: Now you're making
21	an assumption. I'm talking about
22	MAYOR JACKSON: Well, you said
23	"my community." My community where I live or
24	REPORTER 10: Your community
25	where you're the mayor of the community.

1 MAYOR JACKSON: When you said "my community," where I live or the City of 3 Cleveland? The community 4 REPORTER 10: overall. 5 6 MAYOR JACKSON: And I would say 7 as -- I would say as a general rule, yes, there I will say in terms of specific -- at 8 is trust. 9 specific times that I think, no, but as a 10 general, I would say yes. And -- and I say 11 that, I say that because again, when I -- you 12 know, from the phone calls we get and all these 13 other kinds of things and -- and when I go out 14 into my neighborhoods and I talk to people, 15 people welcome the police. 16 We have pretty -- let me back up a 17 little. Give me a little time on this one, but the quick answer is yes, I believe, there is 18 trust, but it doesn't always happen throughout 19 20 the City of Cleveland in every part of the City 21 of Cleveland. And in some parts of the City of 22 Cleveland, there's a high level of mistrust. But let me point out something to you, that even 23 24 in those areas where you would think there's not 25 a trust of police, I've yet to have that

community or that neighborhood tell me, "Don't have police come here."

We have -- we live -- we're in an urban environment. We're not in a suburban or a rural environment. We have urban challenges that are associated with the high availability of guns in the hands of younger and younger people, in the hands of people who shouldn't have it, there is drug activity, there is all kinds of things going in an urban environment.

And that type of policeman and that type of policing is very aggressive and we have initiatives around that, whether it's V-GRIP, our gun suppression initiative, we work with the highway patrol and the county sheriff when we go into an area and saturate that area with police officers, both the county, DEA, FBI come and get me to a point.

And when we do that, I've yet to hear a community, even in an area of the community where you would say that there is a less of a trust than other areas, I've yet to have that neighborhood, that community, tell us not to have them police be there. I've had them say to us, "When are you coming back? And thank you

for being here." So -- so -- so the thing 1 around trust is it -- it goes -- you know, it's a broader kind of issue. And as a general rule, 3 4 there is trust in the police. In some areas there is less trust of 5 the police and -- and in some areas there's a 6 7 mistrust of police, but even in those areas where there's less trust and mistrust, I've yet 8 9 to have that community tell me not to have the police come there. As a matter of fact, I have 10 11 other communities say, "When are you coming 12 here?" 13 REPORTER 10: Mr. Mayor, you 14 said --15 MAYOR JACKSON: No, before you 16 say anything, no, he didn't ask. Let me -- he 17 can ask me his question. 18 REPORTER 11: Thank you, Mr. 19 Mayor. Other communities that have been 20 involved with the DOJ concerns previously, a 21 couple things that they have generally in common 22 was there's been some change in leadership somewhere. You know, some new blood somewhere 23 24 higher up in command. They had citizen 2.5 complaints to authorities that had an outside

1	transparent investigation of the police
2	shootings. And they had the consent decree
3	which I thought I heard you say may or may not
4	happen and you can address if I heard it wrong?
5	MAYOR JACKSON: No, I didn't I
6	didn't say that. I'm saying my my we are
7	working towards one happening. What the
8	question was that "If it didn't happen, are you
9	going to court?" And I said, "Well, that's
10	right." But our goal is to have one.
11	REPORTER 11: Do you support an
12	independent, outside investigating body for the
13	police shootings?
14	MAYOR JACKSON: Oh, yeah. I can
15	answer that right off the top. As a matter of
16	fact, not only do we support it, I have I
17	have attempted on several occasions to see if we
18	could have that happen. And I'm not saying
19	anything new here. We originally thought that
20	what is that state department, Bureau of
21	Criminal Investigations?
22	REPORTER 11: BCI.
23	MAYOR JACKSON: BCI, I had
24	originally hoped that they would pan out to be
25	the investigators of it. However, I lost

confidence in how they handled the East 1 Cleveland shooting. So we're looking at -- and 3 I -- I've actually talked to the FBI about whether or not they would be willing and their 4 5 issue is they don't have jurisdiction. it raises to a certain level, then they come in 6 7 and they take over. Even on this particular case that we're 8 9 looking at with the 12-year-old, we were having conversation with -- if I -- let me finish, with 10 11 the County Prosecutor who -- who is -- who is 12 intensely reviewing our process. And he himself 13 can not do that. It would have to be the county 14 sheriff that would do that. So I'm in complete 15 support. As a matter of fact, I -- I believe 16 that the best way to do it is to have external 17 eyes look at anything in regards to use of force 18 by police. I think that is the right way to go. 19 Yes? 20 REPORTER 12: And -- and you've 21 already answered that you have faith in -- in 22 your command, so I'm assuming you're --23 MAYOR JACKSON: Right. 24 REPORTER 12: -- not making 25 changes in the Division of Police command, but

do you worry how that is perceived, and I'm not criticizing anyone in your command --

MAYOR JACKSON: Right.

REPORTER 12: —— but after the East Cleveland shooting, three of your top people got promoted; okay? So what I'm worried about is the perception that some people say there is a problem with the people that tended to have received promotions.

MAYOR JACKSON: Well, there are -- to some, that is a -- a problem and they're very boisterous about that. For most people I talked to it's not a problem and they're not as boisterous about it. Now do I have confidence in the individuals? Yes, I do. I do. And I will say that much of those changes that have occurred is through their effort and through their tenacity of -- of pushing those things from top to bottom. Have they been successful in -- in -- in -- in making the kind of changes that we would like to have? Not completely.

No, but I don't think any of us when you're dealing with major institutions and organizations even with the best intent, are

able to turn that 100 miles an hour freight 1 train around on a hairpin. 3 REPORTER 12: But do you think sometimes, I don't know if it happens, but do 4 5 you think sometimes new blood, fresh blood --6 MAYOR JACKSON: Yes. 7 REPORTER 12: -- or outside blood --8 9 MAYOR JACKSON: Yes. 10 REPORTER 12: -- will get a 11 chance to put --12 MAYOR JACKSON: Yes, I believe 13 having new blood and an external review an 14 evaluation, yes, and -- and because all of us 15 get institionalized, even those who have the 16 best intentions and -- and we wind up being 17 institutionalized. And so it's always good to 18 have fresh and new blood to bring to bear on 19 situations as -- as they present themselves. 20 So -- so and I'm not opposed to that. 21 I actually welcome that, but I do believe that 22 at this time that we have a Department of 23 Justice review that we have to go through. 24 that at the end of that process, it will make 25 some recommendations, we will agree on a consent

decree and we will welcome a monitor, which we 1 believe will be an outside kind of thing, and --3 and we will look at all aspects of what makes it best for us to do implementation. 4 5 REPORTER 12: When do you think 6 you'll get an agreement, because I'll bet those 7 negotiations are tough? Well, you know, 8 MAYOR JACKSON: 9 I've -- you know, I've -- some of those take years and some of them take months. 10 None of 11 them have taken weeks; right? And so we want to 12 be on the -- on the month's side, not the year's 13 And -- and as we go through this, some --14 we will -- I'm confident in talking to the U.s. 15 Attorney Dettelbach and -- and in talking to 16 even those who people want to get rid of, 17 McGrath, that I'm confident that we will have a 18 consent decree. And I'm confident in that consent 19 20 decree that it will make some recommendations 21 that -- that we will agree on even if we don't 22 like it. And -- and I think the same on the other side too. He's first and then you. 23 24 ahead. 25 REPORTER 13: Thank you, Mayor.

1	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
2	REPORTER 13: So the first time
3	you read this report
4	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
5	REPORTER 13: was there
6	anything in there that shocked you, surprised
7	you, made your jaw drop, shake your head? I
8	mean you asked for this, but was there anything
9	in there that you said, "I just can't believe
10	this?"
11	MAYOR JACKSON: That goes to
12	that goes to Mark's question.
13	REPORTER 13: Yeah.
14	MAYOR JACKSON: And the fact that
15	they
16	REPORTER MARK: We're a double
17	team.
18	REPORTER 13: Right, right.
19	MAYOR JACKSON: The fact that
20	they had I don't want to say softened me up,
21	but the fact that they gave us a general notion
22	of what would be there, so when they put it in
23	writing, I wasn't shocked. I wasn't shocked,
24	but not being shocked doesn't mean that I
25	wasn't

1 REPORTER 13: Surprised? MAYOR JACKSON: No, not 3 surprised. I think they were pretty -- they were pretty clear up front that they were going 4 5 to find some stuff that we weren't going to be 6 happy with. They were pretty clear up front, so 7 -- so -- so even though I wasn't shocked, you know, I was saying, "Well, you know, this is 8 9 exactly what they said they were going to do" So in that vein, but I wasn't -- I wasn't 10 11 shocked by it. 12 Now if your -- if the question is would 13 I be shocked if all of what they said I believed 14 to be true? Yeah, I'd be shocked then because 15 that would mean that, you know, a whole lot of 16 things. It just so happens that there are 17 things that we disagree in there with and we'll 18 go through a process of -- of talking about that 19 with them and reach some agreement with them on 20 those things that we can agree on. 21 And I'm pretty sure there'll be things 22 in there that they're not going -- they're not 23 going to budge from. I'm pretty sure that 24 that's going to happen. 25 REPORTER 13: A second --

1	second question, raised by critics
2	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
3	REPORTER 13: and raised by
4	the grapevines that write editorials.
5	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
6	REPORTER 13: The ultimate
7	responsibility for oversight of the Safety
8	Department, you appoint the chief, you appoint
9	the officers, command staff.
10	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
11	REPORTER 13: How much
12	responsibility do you bear for what's described
13	in the report?
14	MAYOR JACKSON: I I bear all
15	responsibility for anything in there that's true
16	or that's factual. I'm the Mayor. And and
17	you know, I'm not going to blame somebody
18	else for that. You know, it's just like me
19	having my staff meeting and cabinet meeting and
20	I imagine even in your organization meeting,
21	your or whoever is above you have a direct
22	conversation with you how in the heck did you do
23	this or that?
24	You know, we have the same thing.
25	We're a city. We do the same thing here, but

at the end of the day, I am the Mayor and -- and 1 -- and ultimately, I bear all the responsibility of anything in there that is -- that is accurate 3 -- and -- and that has not been changed even to 4 5 the point of those things that we were aware of 6 and agreed to. Agreed that, you know what? 7 You're right about this. And the fact that we did things in 8 9 order to correct that particular thing and it 10 didn't happen, then -- then ultimately, I'm 11 responsible for that. And -- and -- and I let 12 those know who I relied on to make that happen 13 that didn't that I was not happy about it. 14 sir? 15 REPORTER 14: Mayor, this picks 16 up on what you were just talking about. All the 17 issues outlined in the police force report --18 MAYOR JACKSON: Right. REPORTER 14: -- how did it get 19 20 to this point that there's -- you know what, 21 they have to amend without you or somebody in 22 the top safety leadership recognizing there were this many problems? 23 24 MAYOR JACKSON: Well, again, 25 assuming the accuracy of it. What I'm saying to

you is that we have recognized that we have problems. We have attempted to deal with those. Some -- some things we've been successful. Some things we have not. And as you know, this came about through a series of events that culminated in this report.

And -- and so those things that became high profile and those things that became very intense, you know, resulted in this report and them being here, but we were aware of -- of things that we recognized in this report as we go through it that were legitimate and we have taken steps to correct some of that, those things.

And -- and there were other things that they're raising in there that we're looking at that may be we didn't see. And so we're going to take steps in correcting those. Once we recognize that that's a legitimate concern, then we should deal with that.

UNKNOWN VOICE: Mayor?

MAYOR JACKSON: There was -- yes.

Did you have something yet?

UNKNOWN VOICE: Yes, sir.

MAYOR JACKSON: Okay. Go ahead.

1 REPORTER 15: On Monday night, your administrators walked out during a city 3 council meeting --MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh. 4 REPORTER 15: 5 -- in a very There were protesters 6 dramatic turn of events. 7 there. There were all sorts of people calling for resignations of Director Flask and McGrath. 8 9 Did you know that that was going to happen? 10 When were you -- if not, when were you made 11 aware of it and do you condone that? 12 MAYOR JACKSON: Let me sequence 13 it for you, so I can give you a full answer. 14 was at the Word Church having a public dialogue 15 with a -- on a panel and -- and I was not aware 16 that it had happened. Subsequently after 17 leaving the community meeting at the Word 18 Church, I found out that it did happen. I didn't call anybody at that 19 20 particular time, but I did ask some people to 21 come to my office early the next day and I asked 22 them, you know, what happened? You know, why did you do this, because it was pretty 23 24 spontaneous. No one planned to do it is from my 25 understanding. And -- and so once they

articulated to me the reason why they did it, I'm in complete support of it, complete support.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

It wasn't about the demonstrators to a -- to a person, even with Director McGrath. They actually had no problem with the demonstrators. Even though they were asking for his resignation, he had no problem with it. None of my staff or administrators had any problem with that. We -- I think council, as a whole, we did not have any problem with council, as a whole, in terms of them as a body taking a position, but where they were concerned with and -- and they just felt that they would not tolerate is what they believed to be a disrespect and personal attack on -- on someone in what they believed to be a nonprofessional way.

And -- and that they believed and I support that they're not here to be abused. that if -- if -- if there's a public opinion about something, that's fine. I mean, your editorial board has written the same thing, you demonstrators have said and members of council, We don't

have a problem with that, but we -- we are here 1 to -- as public servants and -- and we're not 3 here to be abused. REPORTER 15: 4 What was the 5 specific? Well, I was not 6 MAYOR JACKSON: 7 there, but if my -- I have a professional cabinet. And they have more years in government 8 9 than I am alive. And -- and -- and so 10 they have gone through council hearings, they've gone through public hearings, they've gone 11 12 through all of that. So they -- their skin is 13 pretty thick, pretty thick. And if they felt 14 that way, then I honor their feelings. And if 15 they believed that is the action that they 16 needed to take at that point in time, based on 17 what they communicated to me, then I support 18 their actions. 19 REPORTER 16: So their specific 20 -- their specific beef was with Jeff Johnson's 21 treatment of -- of Mr. McGrath? 22 MAYOR JACKSON: I was -- I was not there. 23 24 REPORTER 16: Is that what they 25 said to you though; is that how they -- is that

1 how they explained it to you, Mayor? MAYOR JACKSON: I'll get to you. 3 Do you watch -- do you watch this stuff all day or I mean, do you just come in on certain 4 5 moments when something happens? And the reason 6 I ask that with no disrespect is that this stuff 7 just doesn't happen in isolation. context and -- and when you go through a -- a 8 9 day of -- of -- of hearing I think safety -- was 10 that? how long was safety at your table? 11 UNKNOWN VOICE: Yesterday? MAYOR JACKSON: 12 No, on Monday 13 night. 14 UNKNOWN VOICE: Monday night, 15 about two hours. 16 MAYOR JACKSON: Two hours. And -- and -- and -- and if you look at that two 17 18 hours and -- and you look at what transpired 19 between two hours and then when you get into, 20 you know, having early in the morning, you get 21 into council committee hearings and what happens 22 and then you -- what happens, then you got to the floor council, and I'll remind you, this was 23 at a miscellaneous when council people were 24 25 allowed, and rightly so, to make public comments about stuff.

So it's not just one thing, you know. It is about what has happened over a course of time that culminated in that. And so that -- that is what they responded to. They didn't respond to just one thing. It's -- it's a -- it's a combination of things that led to their actions that I supported.

REPORTER 17: Mayor, you said you've lost confidence in BCI at the Attorney General's Office.

MAYOR JACKSON: Yes.

REPORTER 17: Can you explain?

MAYOR JACKSON: Again, this is

one of the -- one of the problems that I have to be honest with you which is that I will explain it again, let me put it this way, is that if you remember when there was -- before the Attorney General had his press conference on the release of investigation. And I told you all this, he actually called me and said that these officers did nothing wrong. And that if he was the county prosecutor, he wouldn't indict them.

Well, right away I said, "Well, is that your role? You're an investigator. You're

dealing with the forensics of things. You're dealing with interviewing witnesses. You're recreating the scene, so you can investigate. And then you're going to go turn it over to the county prosecutor who then makes a determination whether there's probable cause to take it to a Grand Jury."

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I just thought that his comment and his attitude was premature in regards to that. And then when I looked at the -- I didn't look at it, but our people looked at the videos of -of how they interviewed the officers there and then we looked at the releasing of the information that was unprecedented in criminal proceedings, unprecedented. And -- and the same type of information that he refused to release down there in the Dayton shooting of a police officer of a person at the Walmart, "Well, you released it here. Why didn't you do it -- you know, you don't" so -- so -- so I just don't have the confidence that it's going to be an objective, transparent, due process kind of investigation because of statements made to me directly where they drew conclusions. you have that conclusion?

1	And then that's the same reason why
2	whoever it was asked me having an external
3	person investigate whether or not all the police
4	shootings or use of force, whether or not it has
5	more validity if you have somebody external?
6	Well, yes, it does, because you you then
7	assume that they're going to be objective about
8	it. You assume that they're going to do it in a
9	professional way. I don't think that happened.
10	And as a result of that, from that point on,
11	things kind of rolled downhill.
12	REPORTER 17: Those who have
13	been calling for the resignations
14	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
15	REPORTER 17: of the top
16	officials
17	MAYOR JACKSON: I figured that
18	figured that would get you fired up, but go
19	ahead.
20	REPORTER 17: they they
21	believe that ultimately the top officials
22	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
23	REPORTER 17: are
24	responsible for the behavior of the police, the
25	quality of of their policies, quality of

1	internal investigations and that the culture of
2	police then trickles down
3	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
4	REPORTER 17: from the top
5	and and Director McGrath and and
6	MAYOR JACKSON: Then Chief
7	McGrath.
8	REPORTER 17: then Chief
9	McGrath and then Director Flask have been around
10	for decades.
11	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
12	REPORTER 17: They were here
13	when the first DOJ
14	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
15	REPORTER 17: investigation
16	took place and but you you have suggested
17	especially with the case of the of the 137
18	shots
19	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
20	REPORTER 17: that those
21	were all the result of individual police
22	officers' actions. To what extent when you're
23	talking about 100 officers
24	MAYOR JACKSON: Correct.
25	REPORTER 17: who were

involved in some way --

MAYOR JACKSON: Right.

REPORTER 17: -- in an event that went off the rails as far as adhering to policies that are in place, at what point does that land directly on -- on the culture of policing and the fact that it trickles down from the top?

MAYOR JACKSON: And -- and I think in terms of the culture of policing and -- and this whole culture of blue that justifies any action that a policeman takes on another policeman is -- they believe their life is in danger, that -- that culture is there. And -- and -- and it's still there. And that's why you have to have clear, definable rules in a box of engagement, so that -- so that they know where they're wrong and where they're right. And you measure them by whether or not they stay within that box.

Now you had the chance to review the DVD that we put together of all the video we had of -- of that chase. You had the ability to listen to all of the radio communications that occurred. And in that, you would probably have

to admit that there were officers and
supervisors who did the right thing and followed
protocol, followed General Police Orders and
policies and procedures.

And there were supervisors who forced their people in their districts to stand down and -- and -- and there were officers who obeyed There were other supervisors who chose to do nothing or -- or participated in doing stuff that they believed was outside -- they not only believed, but they knew, because they told you they knew what -- that they were violating -they told you they knew that. They told you they knew it and they deliberately did that, those supervisors, allowed for that to persist and some of them participated and we fired them. We demoted them. We disciplined them. The arbitrator said, "You can't do that even though you're right."

So my point -- my point is that there was a clear understanding as to what was right and wrong here and it was a clear choice by supervisors which the DOJ points out, clear choices by some supervisors not only to allow something to happen that was outside of what

5

6

7

8

they should do, and some participating in it and -- and under the Code of Blue, under the Code of Blue, that that some kind of way -- that's what the union has told you that that trumped everything else, trumped everything else.

And then there were those who did the right thing. They did the right thing. And so in that, yes, you have a police chief, yes, you have a director, but to say that they are the ones that should be terminated when, in fact, when we took action against the individuals, the system said, "You can't do it."

And then to say to them that they should be terminated when they were the ones who stood up and said it was wrong and they're the ones who went and did the corrective action.

Now if they had done nothing, if they had done nothing or if they would have come to me and said, "Mayor, it's the Code of Blue" or they would have said that, not directly, but indirectly, then they would have been fired, but they didn't do that. They said, "Mayor, this is wrong."

They clearly knew what they were doing and they clearly knew that -- that they took

actions and those actions were wrong. 1 and -- and to show that they clearly knew it, 3 the police officers and the supervisors said they knew it, including the union, but they 4 figured that the Code of Blue overrid it. And 5 this McGrath and Flask said, "That's not so. 6 Ιt 7 does not overrule it so -- override it." So they took action and they took very 8 9 aggressive action, very aggressive action. Now 10 if they had done nothing or they would have 11 tried to justify to me and said it was the Code 12 of Blue, they would not be here today. 13 ma'am? 14 REPORTER 18: Can you give us 15 an idea of who is going to be involved on the 16 City of Cleveland's side in the DOJ --17 MAYOR JACKSON: The DOJ? 18 REPORTER 18: -- negotiations 19 about the consent decree. 20 MAYOR JACKSON: What it will 21 primarily be the lawyers, because, you know, 22 it's a legal proceeding. Now in that, just like what all lawyers do, they bring in whoever they 23 24 believe are the experts to support their side of 25 things. So I would imagine it will be Public

Safety, not necessarily the -- the top but those people involved, particularly if it's talking about -- let's say, for instance, talking about Internal Affairs and the comments they made about Internal Affairs.

Then we need to talk to those people in Internal Affairs and find out from them what was attributed to them, was that correct or incorrect and how they attributed it and then from -- so that will be people who will give information, but it will be the attorneys that will be primarily involved.

I will not be hands on on this. Of course, at the end of the day, I'll make the final decision in regards to the work product. You know, the results of the work product in the final decree, but I won't be helping out. So it's -- it's primarily lawyers and whoever else they want to bring in. Now at the same time, if we're doing this in a cooperative way, then we will be willing to talk to agreed upon experts that the DOJ and us agree upon in terms of how do we get their input as to how to correct things, you know, once we identify what the problem is. So it will be a range of

1	people dealing with the lawyers.
2	REPORTER 18: You will not be
3	hands on then?
4	MAYOR JACKSON: I will not be
5	hands on, because I always tell people if I'm
6	sometimes a meeting goes much better without a
7	mayor there. When a mayor is there, it tends to
8	kind of push one way or another. So it's always
9	best for me if I want the best work product to
10	stay, I'll get I'll get briefed, but and
11	and that so when but for them to then
12	compile something and bring it to me, so I can
13	make a decision.
14	REPORTER 18: And this may be
15	just beating a a dead horse
16	MAYOR JACKSON: I think that
17	that happens quite often here
18	REPORTER 18: But yeah.
19	MAYOR JACKSON: So don't worry
20	about it.
21	REPORTER 18: Are asking for or
22	accepting the resignations of Marty Flask and
23	Michael McGrath on the table with this with
24	this consent decree?
25	MAYOR JACKSON: Well, that cannot

be in the consent decree. I mean, I they -- I 1 think -- I think Mr. Dettelbach was very clear 3 at his testimony before the council that he's not even looking at some stuff like that. 4 5 looking at what he calls to be problems within 6 the division that lead to a pattern of behavior, 7 a pattern and practice that leads to a constitutional violation. 8 9 REPORTER 18: So it won't be a 10 part of the consent decree? No, it's not --11 MAYOR JACKSON: 12 it's not a part of that. No, no, it would not 13 be part of the consent decree. There was 14 somebody --15 REPORTER 19: Mayor, when are 16 you -- when are you starting to go into 17 negotiations? When do those start? 18 MAYOR JACKSON: Oh, they --19 they've already started. They've pretty much 20 have already started. They will -- I believe 21 that I have to talk to the lawyers, but I 22 believe that the structure of how you perceive setting a structure in place that will talk 23 24 about how do we conduct this and how do we --2.5 how do we get to consensus on stuff and what are

1	the time periods?
2	I believe that starts next week, but
3	the discussions are there. It's ongoing. The
4	formal formalization of the process, I think,
5	will or I know it will start next week, but
6	we're we're we're in negotiations
7	already.
8	REPORTER 20: Mayor, a couple
9	things in the report that don't seem to be in
10	dispute. One would be whether the police
11	department has certain computers or equipment or
12	not.
13	MAYOR JACKSON: Right, right,
14	right.
15	REPORTER 20: And if those are
16	things the Justice Department pushes
17	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
18	REPORTER 20: is that
19	something that you would or feel you have to
20	resist, because the City could not afford to,
21	you know, implement those kind of changes?
22	MAYOR JACKSON: You know you
23	know
24	REPORTER 20: I'm talking about
25	the cost.

MAYOR JACKSON: I'm not trying to make light of this, but as a perfect example, if we're talking to -- you know, even three branches of government; legislative, judicial, executive branch and -- but we handle all the budget. So if we say to the legislative body or we say to the judicial system, for example, that "Hey, what about your budget?" They say, "There is no -- there is no -- no cost for justice," so that's the way I feel that we want to do the right thing here.

Fully recognizing that just the use of technology will not resolve the problem. It will help, because people do behave different, both -- not only police officers now, but those who they confront will also behave different if there's cameras there. But we also know external that if you have video doesn't necessarily mean that the process will work its way out to in a way that people feel that there's justice.

So but for an internal situation, I believe that cameras are -- are -- are great tools and is a great technology.

Computers are a great technology, because what

it allows you to do is pull up information in real time that will allow you -- maybe you behave different or you approach somebody different if you know they have a felony warrant for -- or you think or as opposed to a traffic ticket, if you know that they're a fugitive, so you approach them different. (Video and audio of press conference stops abruptly.) 

1	State of Ohio, ) ) SS:
2	County of Lake. )
3	
4	CERTIFICATE
5	I, Mary Bolas-Dietz, do hereby certify
6	that I have transcribed the proceedings
7	of the digital video recording of the
8	press conference held by Mayor
9	Frank G. Jackson, at Cleveland City
10	Hall, on Thursday, December 11, 2014,
11	and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate transcript made to the best
13	of my ability.
14	
15	
16	Mana B D Dist
17	Mony Bran-Dietz Transcriber
18	Fincun-Mancini Court Reporters
19	1801 E. Ninth Street Suite 1720
20	Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 696-2272
21	email@fincunmancini.com
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	
3	CONTINUATION OF THE PRESS CONFERENCE OF CITY
4	OF CLEVELAND MAYOR FRANK G. JACKSON, AUDIO
5	ONLY PORTION, HELD AT CITY OF CLEVELAND
6	CITY HALL, 601 LAKESIDE AVENUE, CLEVELAND,
7	OHIO 44114 ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 11, 2014.
8	
9	IN RE:
10	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FINDINGS
11	REGARDING CLEVELAND DIVISION OF POLICE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

There was somebody --1 MAYOR JACKSON: 2 REPORTER: Mayor, when are you 3 starting these negotiations? When are those 4 starting? They've already 5 MAYOR JACKSON: 6 started. 7 REPORTER: Okay. They pretty much have 8 MAYOR JACKSON: already started. They will, I believe that --9 I have to talk to the lawyers -- but I believe 10 11 that the structure of how you proceed is 12 setting a structure in place that will talk 13 about how do we conduct this and how do we get 14 to consensus on stuff, what are time periods. I believe that starts next week. But the 15 16 discussions are there. The formalization of 17 the process, I think, will -- well, I know it 18 will start next week. We're in negotiation 19 already. 20 REPORTER: Mayor, there were a 21 couple things in the report that don't seem to 22 be in dispute. One would be whether the 23 Police Department has certain computers or equipment, or not. 24 2.5 Right, right, right. MAYOR JACKSON:

REPORTER: And if those are things the Justice Department pushes, is that something that you would or feel you have to resist because the City could not afford to implement those kinds of changes?

MAYOR JACKSON: No.

REPORTER: I'm talking about the

cost.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR JACKSON: You know, I'm not trying to make light of this, but as a perfect example; if we're talking to -- you know, three branches of government, legislative, judicial, executive, right? But we handle all the budget. So if we say to the legislative body or we say to the judicial system, for example, that hey, what about your budget? They said there is no cost for justice. So that's the way I feel, that we want to do the right thing here, fully recognizing that just the use of technology would not resolve the problem. It will help because people do behave different, both, not only police officers now, but those who they confront will also behave different if there's cameras there.

But we also know, external, that if you 1 have video doesn't necessary mean that the 3 process will work its way out in a way that people feel that there is justice. 4 So, but for an internal situation, I believe that 5 6 cameras are great tools, is a great 7 technology. Computers are great technology, because what it allows you to do is pull up 8 9 information in real-time, that will allow you -- maybe you behave different or you approach 10 11 somebody different if you know they have a 12 felony warrant, as opposed to a traffic 13 If you know that they are a fugitive, 14 so you approach them different, rather than 15 everybody gets approached the same way. 16 REPORTER: Why didn't we have 17 them then sooner? I mean, it's a cost thing. 18 MAYOR JACKSON: Well, there's a cost, 19 there's a cost, and that's a driver. But we 20 are putting in technology, we just hadn't 21 intended doing as quickly as the DOJ would 22 mandate under a Consent Decree. But we were moving in that direction. 23

I just approved over almost \$2-3 million for body cameras recently. We've had

24

25

-- what did they call it -- pilot projects, 1 pilot on these, in various districts for over 3 a year now. So we're moving in that 4 direction, it's just when you get to this 5 point, that becomes an immediate expenditure. 6 So we were going to get there, but we probably 7 wouldn't have gotten there as quick as we'll get there now if that becomes part of the 8 Consent Decree. It's similar to what's 9 10 happening with the RNC, and a lot of 11 Republican National --12 REPORTER: That's a whole different press conference, but since you 13 14 bring up, why does Public Square have to be 15 done by the RNC time, that's not part of the 16 proposal? There's a lot of those things --17 MAYOR JACKSON: No, it's not. 18 REPORTER: -- bridges and things that --19 20 MAYOR JACKSON: Of course there is, 21 but see, these things were in effect long 22 before there was a Consent Decree -- I mean, 23 before the DOJ findings. So these are things 24 that are in motion. There's significant 25 non-government money in this. You know, we

would like to have, perhaps, some similar arrangements on other obligations we would have under this Consent Decree. But these are things that were in motion. These aren't things that right now we decided to do after we -- that's not the case. That's not the case.

Yes?

REPORTER: So following up on the point introduced there, have the Republicans expressed any concern at all about the events of the last couple of weeks? Do you expect them to? Are they worried or should they be worried that this overarching story is going to maybe override or blur or be a problem for the convention?

MAYOR JACKSON: First of all, they have not expressed concern. As you know, some of the leadership chain is in town now, and I think there was a meeting last night and they'll probably be some ongoing today, and I'll probably be meeting with people today. At no time did they raise that as an issue.

REPORTER: But they have to wonder what's going on.

1 MAYOR JACKSON: They may wonder, but your question is whether or not they raised it 3 to me. So, no, they have not raised it to me 4 and they haven't hinted that way. Now, I 5 expect fully, when I meet with them, to raise 6 it myself. You know, so that's not an issue. 7 They have not demonstrated that's an issue They have not raised it yet and 8 with them. 9 they have not insinuated or implied that they 10 have an issue with it. They have not. They 11 have not. 12 Let's go over here first and then we'll 13 come back here. 14 REPORTER: The week of -- well, 15 last week, I guess, earlier in the week before 16 the DOJ came into town, there was -- you had 17 had a press conference about announcing a \$100 18 million bond issue --19 MAYOR JACKSON: Right, that's right. -- to deal with other 20 REPORTER: 21 things throughout the City, roads and 22 infrastructural things, playgrounds, rec

City's bonding capacity as it relates to the

money that you will need to address the

23

24

25

centers.

What does that bond issue do to the

inevitable issues within the Cleveland Police? 1 MAYOR JACKSON: What happens is, if 3 you understand the way it happens, because you 4 really borrow money, on the property tax there 5 is, I think, is the unrestricted side, and 6 there's a cap between what's obligated and 7 what's available to do bonding. That's just not with the City of Cleveland, that's County-8 9 wide. So what you do is you look at that to 10 see if there's a capacity within that, because 11 if other communities are looking to do a bond 12 that year, they may take up the capacity. 13 So I'm saying that to let you know that 14 there are other tools available to accomplish 15 the same end. There are other tools 16 available. 17 REPORTER: What are some of 18 those? Well, you'd have to 19 MAYOR JACKSON: 20 talk to the Finance Director about that. 21 REPORTER: Later, that's not why 22 we're here. (Voices talking over one another.) 23 24 MAYOR JACKSON: She's trying to tie 2.5 it back to if there's technology. That's what

they're trying to tie it back to.

But so you'd have to ask Finance
Director, but there are other tools to
accommodate that. But since you raised it,
I'm pretty sure the next spring you'll be
talking about chuckholes, and I'm pretty sure
that if they don't get garbage collected in
time one day, you'll be talking about vehicles
and garbage trucks, why don't you have them.
I'm pretty sure that you'll be talking about
the condition of public facilities. Well, the
world doesn't begin or end with a DOJ report.
I got to run the City and I have to run a city
in way that addresses not only the operational
needs, but the capital needs.

So that bond issuance was around upgrading our fleet so that we have ability to plow snow, pick up trash, dump trucks. It was about upgrading our facilities so that we can make the necessary repairs and build new facilities. It was about roads and bridges so that we can have leverage money to bring in additional state and NOACA dollars to repair our roads and our bridges, and things like -- so that's what that was about.

Also, it was about how do we increase private investment into communities that have challenges, through private investment. So the City doesn't stop, begin or end with that. Also, in that bond issuance is money for body cameras for police. So you know, it's a city to run, and with all of its challenges at any moment in time.

Yes, ma'am?

REPORTER: To go back to the walk-out from the meeting the other night, which you said you supported, I mean, it seems to me like the priority would be the rebuilding public confidence that the administration is responsive to their concerns, and that activity seems like, even if the feelings of those officials were legitimate, it certainly doesn't contribute to rebuilding trust.

MAYOR JACKSON: I don't -- I've yet to here anybody from the public disagree with their action. But I think the reason why they walked out and what led to their walk out didn't contribute to public trust either. So I've yet to hear anybody complain about the

actions that they took. I don't know for sure, because I didn't talk to the demonstrators, but from what I hear, they were fully aware that it had nothing to do with them. I believe there are council members who realized that they had nothing to do with them.

You have to be here and you have to experience here, in order to have a greater appreciation for what they did and why. These are professional people. They just don't do stuff on a whim, and particularly, they don't do stuff and the first thing, what's the Mayor going to say about this. They just don't do those kind of things. So if they did it, they did it, and they didn't -- it wasn't planned, and it was spontaneous and it was in unison.

But the public trust is something that we value and that we work on constantly.

Losing trust is a hard thing to regain. So we know that, so we value.

Yes?

2.5

REPORTER: My last question.

Did the Tamir Rice shooting influence or change your thinking towards that DOJ report,

in terms of maybe even a position you would take or push back, or anything of that nature? How did that change (inaudible) of their actions?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Tamir Rice MAYOR JACKSON: shooting, let me put it this way and then I'll get specific. You know you've asked me and every reporter I know has always asked me, what are you most proud about and what are the things that you -- my constant answer is what? Ask me when I'm no longer Mayor and I'll tell you what I'm proud about and what I'm not proud about, and then because at that time, I'll be no longer Mayor, I can have time to reflect. I will tell you that if you ask me that question on the day, I would say the shooting of Tamir Rice was the worst time I've experienced as Mayor. You know, that's completely contrary to what I have said, right?

So if you asked me that right now, so that has impacted on me. It's the impact it has on this city and to be perfectly honest with you, the impact it has on this Division of Police, and the men and women of the Police

1	Department. So, with that, when you look at
2	this DOJ report, you can't escape that being
3	in your mind, because it has become part of
4	Cleveland now. It's a part that I really have
5	a difficult time talking about.
6	REPORTER: Regarding the Tamir
7	Rice shooting, the surveillance tape which was
8	captured, when will that be released?
9	MAYOR JACKSON: Surveillance, I think
10	they released it, didn't they?
11	REPORTER: Oh.
12	MAYOR JACKSON: You're talking about
13	the one at the gazebo on the shooting?
14	REPORTER: Yeah, but the tape at
15	the news conference a week or two ago, it cuts
16	off immediately after
17	MAYOR JACKSON: Oh, you mean in its
18	entirety?
19	REPORTER: Correct.
20	MAYOR JACKSON: That, I do not know.
21	You would have to talk to our Law Department
22	communications and do a public records
23	request, and that will go through the process,
24	and they will make that determination based on
25	the investigation, and whether they think it

1	is appropriate or not. But I don't know. I
2	know it was, as they told you then, it was for
3	a short period of time.
4	REPORTER: If Melanie can speak
5	to that course one last time (inaudible) can
6	understand, but you mentioned how you can
7	become institutionalized in your thinking
8	MAYOR JACKSON: Uh-huh.
9	REPORTER: and maybe you
10	don't see things as clearly
11	MAYOR JACKSON: No, I said we all
12	are.
13	REPORTER: We all are
14	MAYOR JACKSON: We all are. I mean,
15	it's just a fact of life.
16	(Talking over each other.)
17	REPORTER: outside in life.
18	MAYOR JACKSON: Right.
19	REPORTER: You don't think that
20	would be the case, though, with Safety
21	Director McGrath or Mr. Flask, or any of
22	them? You don't feel like they're too
23	institutionalized to see
24	MAYOR JACKSON: No, no, I
25	REPORTER: to see the full

picture?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR JACKSON: Let me put it to you this way; we are all institutionalized in some form or another. Once you become part of an organization, you stay there a while, it happens. It's inevitable. That's why I go back to saying I appreciate the demonstrators, because they kind of shed a black and white light on this thing, know what I mean; where we're clouded sometimes, because we're caught up in process and procedure.

Now, to say that they're not part of a system, to say that they're not part of, as the young people would say, we're part of the establishment, would be wrong. Yeah, they're part of the establishment. So am I and so are The question becomes, as Layla and Mark has pointed out, is whether or not there's clarity in their decision-making and effectiveness in their decision-making. happen to have confidence in that part of But, yes, they're institutionalized, them. just like I am and you are, but not to the point that they're ineffective. That's the point I'm making.

REPORTER: I understand. As far 1 as the Consent Decree, following up on that 3 question, you don't anticipate the DOJ saying 4 that, you know, the departure of those two or 5 if one of those two should be part of the Consent Decree; and if it is, you're saying 6 7 it's off the table? (Inaudible.) MAYOR JACKSON: No, I think 8 Mr. Dettelbach said that that is not the 9 10 purview of his report. Neither will it be 11 part of how he's going to proceed in terms of 12 a Consent Decree or a monitor. 13 REPORTER: So you don't expect 14 him to push (inaudible) --15 MAYOR JACKSON: No, I don't expect 16 him to do that. Now, I do expect the Plain 17 Dealer to continue down that path, and I do 18 expect demonstrators to do that too. 19 expect that. But in terms of Mr. Dettelbach, 20 I think he was very clear that that's not what 21 he's looking at. He's looking at the pattern and the practices, and what we need to do to 22 23 correct that, not at personnel issues or 24 leadership issue. 2.5 You talked about the REPORTER:

demonstrators. What about blocking motorists trying to get home from work?

MAYOR JACKSON: Well, you know, that's the inconvenience of freedom. It's an inconvenience of people exercising their Constitutional right. You know, there was a lot of Twittering and Facebooking around, they should do something about this. I got to get home. You know, they was having rush hour rage, demonstration rage, right?

But we're not going to do that. We're going to allow people to demonstrate, and they have a right to demonstrate, as long as they stay within -- you know, they weren't violent. They were actually being very respectful and they didn't disobey anything. They say we want to go over here, we said, okay, let's take you over here. We want to block -- they going to block the freeway, we stopped the traffic on the freeway, we didn't want them to get runned over. So I didn't have a problem with it.

I know that from -- I don't do Twitter or Facebook, but those who do do it, told me about frustration and anxiety of some

commuters and all that. But I think weighing 1 all of the factors involved and looking at 3 what they had a right to do, and the way in 4 which they did it, I didn't have a problem with it. 5 Well, I thank you very much. 6 I thank 7 Before you leave, I do want to say to you that I'm going to have ongoing 8 9 conversation. It may not be when you want it or it may not be as frequent, but we will have 10 11 ongoing conversations with you, in regards to

whatever's happening at that time. Dan
Williams is the communications person. You
will find that if you try to go around him,
you might not get anything done, but if you go

directly to him, we will figure out a way in

which to accommodate your wishes, okay?

Thank you very much.

19 (Recording concluded.)

20

21

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

1 State of Ohio, SS: 2 County of Cuyahoga.) 3 4 CERTIFICATE 5 6 I, Steven E. Mengelkamp, do hereby 7 certify that I have transcribed the 8 proceedings of the digital audio recording 9 of the continued press conference of Mayor 10 Frank Jackson, at Cleveland City Hall, 11 601 Lakeside Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, on 12 Thursday, December 11, 2014, and that the 13 foregoing is a true and accurate transcript 14 made to the best of my ability. 15 16 17 18 19 20 FINCUN-MANCINI COURT REPORTERS 1801 East Ninth Street 21 Suite 1720 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 22 (216) 696-2272(216) 696-2275 FAX 23 24 25